

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,782	02/04/2004	Timothy L. Johnson	702.319 5158	
7590 06/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Devon A. Rolf			PIHULIC, DANIEL T	
GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1200 East 151st Street Olathe, KS 66062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/771,782	JOHNSON, TIMOTHY L.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Daniel Pihulic	3662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7 and 11</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.	· <u></u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040204</u> .	6) Other:	and the second of the second					

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure in compliance with
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract with less than 151 words on a separate sheet is required.

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. Claims 2-4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3662

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said amplitude" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The examiner suggests replacing the term "said" with the term "the".

- 4. Claims 1, 5-7 and 11 are allowed.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Pihulic whose telephone number is 703-306-4168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7

Application Number: 10/771,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

a.m. to 5 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza, can be reached on 703-306-4171.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are:

703-872-9306 for official responses, and

703-746-3847 for unofficial communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Pihulic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662